References

**Results of project coordinated by Pixel or projects where Pixel was the partner in charge of quality assurance**

The great majority of European projects **managed and coordinated by Pixel**, as well as of projects where Pixel was the **partner – or external evaluator - in charge of quality assurance**, were given an “**Excellent – Best Practice**” rating by the European Commission. Below are some extracts from the Assessment of the Final Reports made by the European Commission’s Project Assessors.

* May 2005, Assessment of the Final Report of the *Multimediart* project (Socrates Programme) by the European Commission.

Role of Pixel: promoter and coordinator, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **Category A**. European Commission’s Evaluator Comments: “*This is an* ***excellent project*** *that can be successfully* ***used as a model*** *of presentation, structuring, achievements and quality of product. Web site can be presented as* ***example of good practice****.”*

* February 2007.Assessment of the Final Report of the *European Languages for Secretaries* project (Leonardo da Vinci Programme) by the LLP National Agency.

Role of Pixel: promoter and coordinator, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **9/10**. National Agency’s Evaluator Comments: *“Excellent project and excellent results”*

* October 2008. Assessment of the Final Report of the *Multimedia History Memorial* project (Socrates Programme) by the European Commission.

Role of Pixel: technical coordination, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **4/5**. European Commission’s Evaluator Comments: “*The project was* ***successfully managed****. The evaluation strategy was very systematic and precise. Quality assessment of the outputs was* ***successfully accomplished****. Very good results”*

* February 2009.Assessment of the Final Report of the *Learning Game* project (LLP Programme, Comenius Multilateral Project) by the EACEA.

Role of Pixel: promoter and coordinator, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **4,5/5**. EACEA’s Evaluator Comments: “*The results of the project are* ***impressive by their quality****. These outcomes represent* ***outstanding value for the expenditure******of European money****. The workplan … was carried through in* ***exemplary fashion****. The coordinating institution* (i.e. Pixel) *has* ***to be congratulated*** *on the effectiveness of the procedures developed for securing and measuring project progress. The project has if anything* ***exceeded the promise*** *of its initial presentation”.*

* February 2009.Assessment of the Progress Report of the *School Inclusion* project (LLP Programme, Comenius Multilateral Project) by the EACEA.

Role of Pixel: technical coordination, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **8/10**. EACEA’s Evaluator Comments: “*The management of the project is both in content and finances an* ***exemplary model of organization****. Report show* ***excellent skills*** *of collection and organization,”*

* December 2009.Assessment of the Progress Report of the *On Air* project (LLP Programme, Comenius Multilateral Project) by the EACEA.
* Role of Pixel: technical coordination, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **8/10**. EACEA’s Evaluator Comments: “*The coordinator demonstrates* ***very good presentation and reporting*** *skill”.*

* January 2010.Assessment of the Progress Report of the *Routes* project (LLP Programme, Comenius Multilateral Project) by the EACEA.

Role of Pixel: technical coordination, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **8/10**. EACEA’s Evaluator Comments: “*All the expected outcomes have been achieved and the* ***quality of the material is high****”.*

* February 2010. Assessment of the Final Report of the *History on Line* project (LLP Programme, Erasmus Virtual Campus) by the EACEA.

Role of Pixel: technical coordination, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **9/10**. EACEA’s Evaluator Comments: “*Thanks to a* ***very well organized management strategy*** *all activities have been implemented timely and quality wise. The project planning and management, the project activities and results have been* ***excellently organized, managed and evaluated****”.*

* March 2010. Assessment of the Final Report of the *Michelangelo* project (LLP Programme, Comenius Multilateral Project) by the EACEA.

Role of Pixel: promoter and coordinator, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **9/10**. EACEA’s Evaluator Comments: “*The quality of the delivery output is high and the Michelangelo portal web site is* ***outstanding*** *in its presentation”.*

* March 2011. Assessment of the Final Report of the *On Air* project (LLP Programme, Comenius Multilateral Project) by the EACEA.

Role of Pixel: technical coordination, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **9/10**. EACEA’s Evaluator Comments: “*The project has been* ***very successfully managed****. The strategy for evaluation is excellent”.*

* June 2011. Assessment of the Final Report of the *Avalon* project (LLP Programme KA3) by the EACEA.

Role of Pixel: external evaluator

Final rating: **7/10**. EACEA’s Evaluator Comments: “*The core results of the project underwent a* ***well designed and well implemented evaluation*** *under the leadership of the external evaluator”*

* June 2011. Assessment of the Final Report of the *Chemistry is All Around Us* project (LLP Programme KA1) by the EACEA.

Role of Pixel: external evaluator

Final rating: **8,5/10**. EACEA’s Evaluator Comments: “*The evaluation process represents a* ***good practice*** *creating a greater visibility and impact of the assessment project”*

* December 2011. Assessment of the Interim Report of the *In the MC*  project (LLP Programme Leonardo da Vinci TOI) by the EACEA.

Role of Pixel: external evaluator

Final rating: Very Good. EACEA’s Evaluator Comments: “*The external evaluation report of Pixel is an example of good practice to be used in other projects ”*

* May 2012.Assessment of the Final Report of the *Lets Go* project (Leonardo da Vinci TOI Programme) by the LLP National Agency.

Role of Pixel: technical coordinator, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **9,5/10**. National Agency’s Evaluator Comments: *“Excellent”*

* December 2012. Assessment of the Progress Report of the *Economic eTranslations* project (LLP Programme Erasmus FEXI) by the EACEA.

Role of Pixel: technical coordination, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **9/10**. EACEA’s Evaluator Comments: “*Quality assurance is of* ***outstanding quality and transparency*** *”*

* July 2013. Assessment of the Final Report of the *I Am Not Scared* project (LLP Programme KA1) by the EACEA.

Role of Pixel: technical coordination, internal evaluation and quality assurance

Final rating: **9/10**. EACEA’s Evaluator Comments: “*The final products* ***exceeded the initially expected*** *results”*